Unleashing the (Electromagnetic) Beast

disk-induced field-line opening in accreting millisecond pulsars

Kyle Parfrey Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Earth

Pulsar



Questions we're interested in



Fender+ 2004

Magnetospheric geometry



Field lines can be opened by disc







Uzdensky, Koenigl, Litwin 2002





Opening, reconnection, relaxation





Include radial velocity in disc

Use more complete disc model with, e.g.:

$$\alpha_{\rm SS} = 0.4 \qquad r_{\rm m} = 1.5 r_* \qquad r_{\rm LC} = 16 r_*$$
$$\Pr_{\rm m} = \frac{\nu_{\rm turb}}{\eta_{\rm turb}} = 1$$

giving ~ self-consistent disc accretion velocity

$$N_{
m spindown}/N_0$$

With radial disc velocity: 82.21
Without radial velocity: 82.49





Approximate all spin-down torque as coming from open field lines



Parfrey, Spitkovsky, Beloborodov 2015

But how much flux is opened? Expect $\psi_{\text{open}} \sim \frac{r_{\text{LC}}}{r_{\text{m}}} \psi_{\text{open},0}$





Simple model for torques...

Isolated pulsar:
$$L_0 = -N_0 \Omega = \mu^2 \frac{\Omega^4}{c^3} \approx \frac{2}{3c} \Omega^2 \psi_{\text{open},0}^2$$

Model for open flux:
$$\psi_{\text{open}} = \zeta \frac{r_{\text{LC}}}{r_{\text{m}}} \psi_{\text{open},0}$$

Torque: $N_{\text{down,open}} = \zeta^2 \left(\frac{r_{\text{LC}}}{r_{\text{m}}}\right)^2 N_0$

$$N_{\rm tot} = \begin{cases} \dot{M} \sqrt{GMr_{\rm m}} - \zeta^2 \frac{\mu^2}{r_{\rm m}^2} \frac{\Omega}{c}, & r_{\rm m} < r_{\rm co} \\ -\zeta^2 \frac{\mu^2}{r_{\rm m}^2} \frac{\Omega}{c}, & r_{\rm co} < r_{\rm m} < r_{\rm LC} \\ -\mu^2 \frac{\Omega^3}{c^3}, & r_{\rm m} > r_{\rm LC}. \end{cases}$$

Torque models: 500 Hz, 10⁸ G star

Jet power – if open flux is collimated

Torques on AMSPs

Test torque models when get a magnetic moment estimate via spin measurements during multiple outbursts

For reasonable parameters, can explain lack of detectable spin-up during outbursts of

SAX J1808.4-3658

Haskell & Patruno 2011

> XTE J1814-338* * assuming $B \sim 10^8$ G

 $\xi < [0.65, 0.61, 0.55]$ for $\zeta = [1.0, 0.9, 0.8]$

 $\xi < [0.72, 0.67, 0.61, 0.56]$ for $\zeta = [1.0, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7]$

No enhanced/anomalous spin-down needed for

XTE J1751-305

Papitto+ 2008, Riggio+ 2011

IGR J00291+5934

Patruno 2010, Hartman+ 2011, Papitto+ 2011 Spin equilibrium

Spin-up from $r_{\rm m}$ = Spin-down on open flux $\dot{M}\sqrt{GMr_{\rm m}} = -\zeta^2 \left(\frac{r_{\rm LC}}{r_{\rm m}}\right)^2 N_0$ $\nu_{\rm eqlm} = 956 \, \zeta^{-2} \xi^{5/2} \left(\frac{\mu}{10^{26} \, \rm G \, cm^3} \right)^{-4/7}$ $\times \left(\frac{M}{1.4 \, M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/7} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{-10} \, M_{\odot} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}}\right)^{-7} \, \mathrm{Hz}$

In spin eqlm:

$$\frac{r_{\rm m}}{r_{\rm LC}} = 2^{-1/2} \frac{\xi^{7/2}}{\zeta^2}$$

To see channeled accretion:

$$r_{\rm m} > r_*$$

Max spin for AMSPs:

$$\nu_{\rm max} = 3374 \, \zeta^{-2} \xi^{7/2} \left(\frac{r_*}{10 \,\rm km}\right)^{-1} \,\rm Hz$$

 $\nu_{\text{eqlm}}(\mu, \dot{M}, M)$ – gives flat-ish distribution?

Jets

Fomalont+ 2001, Fender+ 2004 Sco X-1, Cir X-1 – $L_j > 10^{35} \text{ erg/s}$ $\mu = 10^{26} \text{ G}$ Model: $L_j = 4.6 \times 10^{35} (\zeta/\xi)^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ for $\nu = 300 \text{ Hz}$ $\dot{M} = 0.5 \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$

 $L_{\rm j} \propto \dot{M}^{4/7}$ — similar to Aql X-1 [modulo $L_{\rm j}(L_{\rm R})$] — not similar to 4U 1728-34

May explain why see soft state quenching in some sources e.g. Aql X-1 Tudose+ 2009, Miller-Jones+ 2010 but not others (most?) Migliari & Fender 2006 critical μ for $r_{\rm m} \rightarrow r_*$ at $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$: $\mu_{\rm crit} \sim {\rm few} \times 10^{26}$ G

Summary

 Differential rotation between star & disc may open nearly all the disc-coupling magnetic flux

▸ If opening is efficient, significant power can be tapped by highspin, strongly magnetised objects — e.g. millisecond pulsars

• May be relevant for setting the torque on AMSPs in outburst, their spin distribution, and jets from high-spin neutron stars

• Can transitional MSPs help untangle some of the relationships between magnetic moment, accretion rate, torque, and radio emission?

Paper at arXiv:1507.08627 — comments welcome